

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/417,065	10/13/1999	STEFAN B. EDLUND	AM9-99-066	6940	
23334	7590 06/21/2002				
FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS,			EXAMINER		
ONE BOCA	& BONGINI, P.L. COMMERCE CENTER	NGUYEN, NGA B			
551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111 BOCA RATON, FL 33487			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3628		
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/417,065

Nga B. Nguyen

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

3628

Edlund et al.



The MAILING DATE of this

	The WAILING DATE OF this communication appears	s on the cover	sneet with	the correspondence address
	for Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	three	MONTH(S) FROM
- Exter	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C	CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event	, however, may a reply be timely filed
	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day		n the statute	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be	considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory			•
CO	mmunication. re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b			
- Any i	reply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	e mailing date	of this com	nunication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 10,	2002		<u> </u>
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 📈 This ac	tion is non-fir	nal.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$			
	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u>			is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	·		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-31			is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			
8) 🗆	Claims		are subjec	t to restriction and/or election requirement.
	tion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/arc	e objected to	by the Ex	aminer.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a)□	approved b)□ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under	35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d).
a) 🗆	All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	eau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).	_
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		G. 5
Attachme 15) ☑ No	ent(s) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)		75.440.5
	ntice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948)			TO-413) Paper No(s)nt Application (PTO-152)
- •	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3, 5	20) Other:	omarate	нь г урновийн (F10-1 02)

Application/Control Number: 09/417,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is the answer to the Election filed on April 10, 2002, which paper has been placed of record in the file.
- 2. Claims 19-31 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding to claims 25-30, the preamble recites method claims but the body of the claims is written in means. Moreover, "the current bid", "the limit maximum permitted" in step (f) are lack of antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/417,065

Art Unit: 3628

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 19-31 *insofar as they can be understood* are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huberman, U.S. Patent No. 5,826,244 in view of Official notice taken by Examiner.

Regarding to claim 19, Huberman discloses a purchasing agent method comprising the steps of: (see columns 3-18 and figures 3a-4b)

- (b) utilizing a user dialog to enter a product purchase request;
- (c) communicating with a first and/or next auction site;
- (d) determining if product purchase request is available, and if not, proceeding to step(c);
- (e) determining if the current bid from auction site is below the limit maximum permitted, and if not, proceeding to step (c);
 - (f) placing a bid for product purchase request with auction site;
- (g) determining if bid has been accepted, and if so, canceling outstanding bids at other auction sites and terminating the purchasing procedure;
- (h) otherwise, determining if bidding has been terminated, and if so, proceeding to step (c);
- (I) determining if time is running out on any of the current outstanding bids, and if so, canceling all high-cost outstanding bids and returning to step (g); and

Application/Control Number: 09/417,065

Art Unit: 3628

)

Page 4

(j) otherwise, returning to step (c) to inquire if there are additional action sites in which it might be advantageous to place a bid on product purchase request.

Huberman does not disclose step (a) utilizing a user dialog to register at one or more auction sites to obtain a user identification and/or password. Official notice is taken that a user registers at one or more websites to obtain a user identification and/or password in order to establish a purchase transaction with the websites is old and well-known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the features above with Huberman's for the security purpose.

Regarding to claim 20, Huberman further discloses wherein one or more of method steps is implemented using a personal computer (column 6, lines 5-20).

Regarding to claims 21-22, Huberman does not disclose product purchase requests are generated by a manufacturing resource and/or inventory planning system to supply parts and/or materials and/or supplies for a commercial enterprise. Official notice is taken that searching a manufacturing resource and/or inventory planning system for a product purchase request is old and well-known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the features above with Huberman's for the purpose of providing the availability of the product requested to the user.

Regarding to claim 23, Huberman further discloses auction site is an Internet-based web auction site (column 5, lines 9-15).

Art Unit: 3628

Regarding to claim 24, Huberman further discloses step (f) prioritizes bid placement to permit only the lowest cost auction sites to be utilized in the bidding process (column 11, line 60-column 12, column 10).

Claims 20-30 are system claims that are parallel the limitations found in claims 1-7, 9, 10, 15, 18, as discussed above, therefore are rejected by the same rationale.

Claim 31 is written in computer software that are parallel the limitations found in claim 1 as discussed above, therefore are rejected by the same rationale.

Conclusion

- 7. Claims 1-31 are rejected.
- 8. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Walker et al. (US 5,794,207) discloses a method and apparatus for effectuating bilateral buyer-driven commerce.

Conklin et al. (US 6,141,653) discloses a system fro interactive multi variable negotiation over network.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703)306-2901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A. Millin, can be reached on (703)308-1065.

10. Any response to this action should be mail to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2700

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-5397 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,

2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Nga B. Nguyen June 14, 2002 FRANTZY POINVIL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Au 3628